UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: PATENT APPLICATION

Michael O. Okoroafor et al. Group Art Unit: 1712

Serial No. 09/690,595 Examiner: Peng, Kuo Liang

Attorney Docket No. 1556A1/RC Filed: October 17, 2000

For: OPTICAL RESIN COMPOSITION : Confirmation No. 2590

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137

MAIL STOP PETITION Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants hereby petition for revival of the abovecaptioned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the requisite reply (June 27, 2002) until the date of filing of this petition was unintentional. Enclosed herewith is an amendment in response to the Office Action dated March 27, 2002. The Office is hereby authorized to debit Applicants' Deposit Account No. 16-2025 the petition fee of \$1,500.00 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m), and any other fees that may be required.

The undersigned attorney was the attorney of record for the above-captioned application from the date of its filing to the date of its abandonment. The application file had gone missing and has just now been located. Neither the inventors, nor the assignee, nor the undersigned attorney had intended to allow the application to abandon. In fact, Applicants' internal electronic docketing system indicates that the application is still pending, which indicates that the application had not gone through Applicants' internal standard process/check list for abandoned applications. This is

evidence that the file was in fact, lost and abandonment of the application was unintentional.

The undersigned attorney has no recollection of the precise facts and events at the time of abandonment of the application. However, according to the Interview Summary on November 15, 2002 the Examiner was informed that Applicants would not respond further because a Request for Continued Examination had been filed September 25, 2002, prior to the telephone interview. A Notice of Abandonment was issued by the Examiner, then withdrawn, then reissued. Apparently the application file went missing at or about this time.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants assert that the above-captioned application was unintentionally abandoned and respectfully request revival of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Carol A. Marmo

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 39,761

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania August 22, 2006